1			
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney JUSTIN L. LEE		
3	Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100		
4	Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
6	United States of America		
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:22-CR-00164-DJC	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL	
13	v.	ACT; ORDER	
14	JESUS IRIBE,	DATE: September 28, 2023	
15	Defendant.	TIME: 9:00 a.m. COURT: Hon. Daniel J. Calabretta	
16	COTATA		
17	STIPULATION		
18	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and		
19	defendant, by and through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:		
20	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on September 28, 2023.		
21	2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the status conference		
22	until November 2, 2023, at 9:00 a.m., and to exclude time between September 28, 2023,		
23	and November 2, 2023, under Local Code T4.		
24	3. The parties agree and stipula	te, and request that the Court find the	
25	following:		
26	a) The government has re	epresented that the discovery associated with	
27	this case includes law enforcement reports and physical evidence. All of this		
$_{28}$	discovery has been either produced directly to counsel and/or made available for		

3

1011

1213

1415

16

1718

19

2021

22

23

24

///

///

25

26 | ///

27 | | ///

28 | ///

inspection and copying.

- b) The parties are seeking a continuance to explore a resolution of the matter. Counsel for defendant desires additional time to meet with his client to discuss resolution and conduct investigation and research related to the proposed resolution.
- c) The parties have not yet reached an agreement about whether this case is going to resolve or proceed to trial so further time in necessary to allow the parties to explore resolution prior to advising the Court of how the matter should proceed.
- d) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the aboverequested continuance would deny him/her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - e) The government does not object to the continuance.
- f) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- g) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of September 28, 2023 to November 2, 2023, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

Case 2:22-cr-00164-DJC Document 28 Filed 09/26/23 Page 3 of 3

1			
1	4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other		
2	provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from		
3	the period within which a trial must commence.		
4	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
5			
6			
7	Dated: September 25, 2023	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney	
8			
9		/s/ JUSTIN L. LEE JUSTIN L. LEE	
10		Assistant United States Attorney	
11			
12	Dated: September 25, 2023	/s/ TIM F. TUITAVUKI TIM F. TUITAVUKI	
13		Counsel for Defendant	
14		JESUS IRIBE	
15			
16	ORDER		
17	IT IS SO ORDERED this 25 th day of September, 2023.		
18			
19		/s/ Daniel J. Calabretta	
20		HON. DANIEL J. CALABRETTA UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
21		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			